

Pairs of compatible associative algebras, classical Yang-Baxter equation and quiver representations

Alexander Odesskii ^{1,2} and Vladimir Sokolov ¹

¹ Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia

² School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, UK

Abstract

Given an associative multiplication in matrix algebra compatible with the usual one or, in other words, linear deformation of matrix algebra, we construct a solution to the classical Yang-Baxter equation. We also develop a theory of such deformations and construct numerous examples. It turns out that these deformations are in one-to-one correspondence with representations of certain algebraic structures, which we call M -structures. We also describe an important class of M -structures related to the affine Dynkin diagrams of A , D , E -type. These M -structures and their representations are described in terms of quiver representations.

MSC numbers: 17B80, 17B63, 32L81, 14H70

Address: Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygina 2, 119334, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: odesskii@itp.ac.ru, sokolov@itp.ac.ru

Introduction

Two associative algebras with multiplications $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ and $(a, b) \rightarrow a \circ b$ defined on the same finite dimensional vector space are said to be compatible if the multiplication

$$a \bullet b = ab + \lambda a \circ b \quad (0.1)$$

is associative for any constant λ . The multiplication $\bullet$ can be regarded as a deformation of the multiplication $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ linear in the parameter λ .

In [1] we have studied multiplications compatible with the matrix product or, in other words, linear deformations of the matrix multiplication. It turns out that these deformations of the matrix algebra are in one-to-one correspondence with representations of certain algebraic structures, which we call M -structures. The case of direct sum of several matrix algebras corresponds to representations of the so-called PM -structures (see [1]).

Given a pair of compatible associative products, one can construct a hierarchy of integrable systems of ODEs via Lenard-Magri scheme [2]. The Lax representations for these systems are described in [3]. If one of the multiplications is the usual matrix product, the integrable systems are Hamiltonian $gl(N)$ -models with quadratic Hamiltonians [4]. These systems can be regarded as a generalization of the matrix equations considered in [5]. Their skew-symmetric reductions give rise to new integrable quadratic $so(n)$ -Hamiltonians.

The main ingredient of the M -structure is a pair of associative algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ of the same dimension. The simplest version of a structure of this kind can be regarded as an associative analog of the Lie bi-algebra.

We define an *associative bi-algebra* as a couple of associative algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with non-degenerated pairing and a structure of $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{op}$ -module on the space $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ such that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ acts on $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}$ by right multiplications, the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ acts on $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$ by left multiplications and the pairing is invariant with respect to this action (that is $(bb', a) = (b, b'a)$ and $(b, aa') = (ba, a')$ for $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$). Here $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ stands for the algebra opposite to $\mathcal{A}$. Given an associative bi-algebra one has the structure of associative algebra in the space $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ (this is an analog of the Drinfeld double).

In this paper we introduce the notion of *associative r -matrices*, which is a particular case of usual classical r -matrices. It turns out that the constant associative r -matrices can be classified in terms of associative bi-algebras. Moreover, one can introduce spectral parameters to the definition of associative bi-algebras and obtain a classification of non-constant associative r -matrices.

In [1] we have discovered an important class of M and PM -structures. These structures are related to the Cartan matrices of affine Dynkin diagrams of the $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$, $\tilde{D}_k$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, and $\tilde{E}_8$ -type. In this paper we describe these M -structures and their representations in terms of quiver representations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we consider an associative analog of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. Since semi-simple associative algebras are more rigid algebraic

structures then semi-simple Lie algebras, it turns out to be possible to construct a developed theory of the associative Yang-Baxter equation in the semi-simple case. This theory is suitable for constructing of wide class of solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation. We are planning to write a separate paper devoted to systematic search for solutions.

In Section 2, we give an explicit construction of a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation by each pair of compatible Lie brackets provided that the first bracket is rigid. The corresponding r -matrices are not unitary and therefore they are out of classification by A. Belavin and V. Drinfeld [6]. In particular, compatible associative products give rise to solutions of the associative Yang-Baxter equation. This gives us a way to construct r -matrices related to M -structures.

In Section 3 we recall the notion of M -structure and formulate main results describing the relationship between associative multiplications in matrix algebra compatible with the usual matrix product and M -structures.

In Section 4 we describe all M -structures with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. It turns out that such M -structures are related to the Cartan matrices of affine Dynkin diagrams of the $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$, $\tilde{D}_k$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, and $\tilde{E}_8$ -type. We describe these M -structures and their representations in terms of representations of affine quivers [8, 9, 10].

In Appendix we give explicit formulas for these M -structures, their representations and for corresponding solutions to the classical Yang-Baxter equation.

1 Classical Yang-Baxter equation

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. Let $r(u, v)$ be a meromorphic function of two complex variables with values in $End(\mathfrak{g})$. For each $u \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_u$ a vector space canonically isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_u \mathfrak{g}_u$. We define a bracket on the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the formula

$$[x_u, y_v] = ([x, r(u, v)y])_u + ([r(v, u)x, y])_v. \quad (1.2)$$

Lemma 1.1. The bracket (1.2) defines a structure of a Lie algebra on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ iff $r(u, v)$ satisfies the following equation

$$[r(u, w)x, r(u, v)y] - r(u, v)[r(v, w)x, y] - r(u, w)[x, r(w, v)y] \in Cent(\mathfrak{g}), \quad (1.3)$$

where x, y are arbitrary elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $Cent(\mathfrak{g})$ stands for the center of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof of the lemma is straightforward.

Definition. The operator relation

$$[r(u, w)x, r(u, v)y] - r(u, v)[r(v, w)x, y] - r(u, w)[x, r(w, v)y] = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

is called *classical Yang-Baxter equation*. A solution $r(u, v)$ to the classical Yang-Baxter equation is called *classical r -matrix*. Arguments of $r(u, v)$ are called spectral parameters.

Note that the arguments u, v of r could be also elements of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for $n > 1$ or elements of some complex manifold, which is called the manifold of spectral parameters.

Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ possesses a non-degenerate invariant scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then it is easy to see [7] that the equation (1.4) is equivalent to the classical Yang-Baxter equation written in the tensor form. An r -matrix is called unitary if $(x, r(u, v)y) = -(r(v, u)x, y)$. The unitary r -matrices were classified in [6]. There is no any classification of r -matrices in the general case.

It turns out that a theory of (non-unitary) r -matrices can be developed in the special case of associative algebras. Let A be an associative algebra. Let $r(u, v)$ be a meromorphic function in two complex variables with values in $End(A)$. For each $u \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by A_u a vector space canonically isomorphic to A . Let $\tilde{A} = \oplus_u A_u$. We define a product on the space $\tilde{A}$ by the formula

$$x_u y_v = (x(r(u, v)y))_u + ((r(v, u)x)y)_v. \quad (1.5)$$

Lemma 1.2. The product (1.5) defines a structure of an associative algebra on $\tilde{A}$ iff $r(u, v)$ satisfies the following equation

$$(r(u, w)x)(r(u, v)y) - r(u, v)((r(v, w)x)y) - r(u, w)(x(r(w, v)y)) \in Null(A), \quad (1.6)$$

where $Null(A)$ is the set of $z \in A$ such that $zt = tz = 0$ for all $t \in A$.

Proof of the lemma is straightforward.

Definition. The relation

$$(r(u, w)x)(r(u, v)y) - r(u, v)((r(v, w)x)y) - r(u, w)(x(r(w, v)y)) = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

is called *associative Yang-Baxter equation*.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with the brackets $[x, y] = xy - yx$. Then any solution of (1.7) is a solution of (1.4).

Proof of the lemma is straightforward.

Let $A = Mat_n$. It is easy to see that any operator from $End(A)$ to $End(A)$ has the form $x \rightarrow a_1 x b^1 + \dots + a_p x b^p$ for some matrices $a_1, \dots, a_p, b^1, \dots, b^p$. Moreover, p is the smallest possible for such representation iff the matrices $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$ are linear independent as well as $\{b_1, \dots, b_p\}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let

$$r(u, v)x = a_1(u, v) x b^1(v, u) + \dots + a_p(u, v) x b^p(v, u),$$

where $a_1(u, v), \dots, b^p(u, v)$ are meromorphic functions with values in Mat_n such that $\{a_1(u, v), \dots, a_p(u, v)\}$ are linear independent over the field of meromorphic functions in u, v as well as $\{b^1(u, v), \dots, b^p(u, v)\}$. Then $r(u, v)$ satisfies (1.7) iff there exist meromorphic functions $\phi_{i,j}^k(u, v, w)$ and $\psi_{i,j}^k(u, v, w)$ such that

$$a_i(u, v)a_j(v, w) = \phi_{i,j}^k(u, v, w)a_k(u, w),$$

$$b^i(u, v)b^j(v, w) = \psi_k^{i,j}(u, v, w)b^k(u, w), \quad (1.8)$$

$$b^i(u, v)a_j(v, w) = \phi_{j,k}^i(v, w, u)b^k(u, w) + \psi_j^{k,i}(w, u, v)a_k(u, w).$$

The tensors $\phi_{i,j}^k(u, v, w)$ and $\psi_{i,j}^k(u, v, w)$ satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{i,j}^s(u, v, w)\phi_{s,k}^l(u, w, t) &= \phi_{i,s}^l(u, v, t)\phi_{j,k}^s(v, w, t), \\ \psi_s^{i,j}(u, v, w)\psi_l^{s,k}(u, w, t) &= \psi_l^{i,s}(u, v, t)\psi_s^{j,k}(v, w, t), \\ \phi_{j,k}^s(v, w, t)\psi_s^{l,i}(t, u, v) &= \phi_{s,k}^l(u, w, t)\psi_j^{s,i}(w, u, v) + \phi_{j,s}^i(v, w, u)\psi_k^{l,s}(t, u, w). \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Proof of the theorem is similar to the proof of the Theorem 3.1 from [1].

Remark 1. It is easy to give an invariant description of the corresponding algebraic structure. In the case of constant r -matrix this leads to the associative bi-algebras described in the Introduction.

Remark 2. A similar statement holds in the case of semi-simple algebra A .

Example 1. Let $r(u, v)x = \frac{1}{u-v}e(u, v)xf(v, u)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} e(u, v)e(v, w) &= e(u, w), & f(u, v)f(v, w) &= f(u, w), \\ e(u, v)f(v, w) &= \frac{u-v}{u-w}f(u, w) + \frac{v-w}{u-w}e(u, w). \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

These equations hold if we assume, for example, that $e(u, v) = 1$, $f(u, v) = (v-u)(u+C)^{-1} + 1$, where C is an arbitrary constant matrix.

Example 2. Let $A = \mathbb{C}^p$. The algebra A has a basis $\{e_i, i = 1 \dots p\}$ such that $e_i e_j = \delta_{i,j} e_i$. The formula

$$r(u, v)e_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{\psi_i(v)}{\phi_j(u) - \phi_i(v)} e_j$$

gives an associative r -matrix for any functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_p$ in one variable, where $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p$ are not constant. This r -matrix can be written in the form

$$r(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{\psi_i(\vec{v})}{u_j - v_i} e_j,$$

where $\vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_p)$, $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$, $\psi_i(\vec{v})$ are functions in p variables. In this case, the manifold of spectral parameters is $\mathbb{C}^p$.

2 Compatible products and solutions to the classical Yang-Baxter equation

Two Lie brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ defined on the same vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ are said to be compatible if $[\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda = [\cdot, \cdot] + \lambda[\cdot, \cdot]_1$ is a Lie bracket for any λ . In the papers [11, 12, 13, 14] different applications of the notion of compatible Lie brackets to the integrability theory have been considered.

Suppose that the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is rigid, i.e. $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$. In this case the Lie algebras with the brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda$ are isomorphic to the Lie algebra with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ for almost all values of the parameter λ . This means that there exists a meromorphic function $\lambda \rightarrow S_\lambda$ with values in $End(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $S_0 = Id$ and

$$[S_\lambda(x), S_\lambda(y)] = S_\lambda([x, y] + \lambda[x, y]_1). \quad (2.11)$$

Theorem 2.1. The formula

$$r(u, v) = \frac{1}{u - v} S_u S_v^{-1} \quad (2.12)$$

defines a solution to the classical Yang-Baxter equation (1.4).

Proof. For $r(u, v)$ given by (2.12) equation (1.4) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(u - v)(u - w)} [S_u S_w^{-1}(x), S_u S_v^{-1}(y)] - \frac{1}{(u - v)(v - w)} S_u S_v^{-1}([S_v S_w^{-1}(x), y]) - \\ \frac{1}{(u - w)(w - v)} S_u S_w^{-1}([x, S_w S_v^{-1}(y)]) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Using (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [S_u S_w^{-1}(x), S_u S_v^{-1}(y)] &= S_u([S_w^{-1}(x), S_v^{-1}(y)] + u[S_w^{-1}(x), S_v^{-1}(y)]_1), \\ S_u S_v^{-1}([S_v S_w^{-1}(x), y]) &= S_u([S_w^{-1}(x), S_v^{-1}(y)] + v[S_w^{-1}(x), S_v^{-1}(y)]_1), \\ S_u S_w^{-1}([x, S_w S_v^{-1}(y)]) &= S_u([S_w^{-1}(x), S_v^{-1}(y)] + w[S_w^{-1}(x), S_v^{-1}(y)]_1). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions into the left hand side of (2.13), we obtain the statement.

Remark 1. It is clear that the r -matrix (2.12) is unitary with respect to an invariant form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ if the operator S_λ is orthogonal. In this case the formula (2.11) implies that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is invariant with respect to the second bracket.

Two associative algebras with multiplications $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$ and $(x, y) \rightarrow x \circ y$ defined on the same finite dimensional vector space A are said to be *compatible* if the multiplication (0.1) is associative for any constant λ . Suppose $H^2(A, A) = 0$ with respect to the first multiplication; then there exists a meromorphic function $\lambda \rightarrow S_\lambda$ with values in $End(A)$ such that $S_0 = Id$ and

$$S_\lambda(x) S_\lambda(y) = S_\lambda(xy + \lambda x \circ y). \quad (2.14)$$

The Taylor decomposition of S_λ at $\lambda = 0$ has the following form

$$S_\lambda = 1 + R \lambda + S \lambda^2 + \dots \quad (2.15)$$

Substituting this decomposition into (2.14) and equating the coefficients of λ , we obtain the formula

$$x \circ y = R(x)y + xR(y) - R(xy), \quad (2.16)$$

where R is a linear operator on A . It is clear that for any $a \in A$ the transformation

$$R \longrightarrow R + ad_a, \quad (2.17)$$

where ad_a is a linear operator $v \rightarrow av - va$, does not change the multiplication $\circ$.

Definition. Operators R and R' are said to be equivalent if $R - R' = ad_a$ for some $a \in A$.

The following analog of the Theorem 2.1 can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that S_λ satisfies (2.14), then the formula (2.12) defines a solution to the associative Yang-Baxter equation (1.7).

Remark 2. In the important particular case $S_\lambda = 1 + \lambda R$, the r -matrix (2.12) is equivalent to

$$r(u, v) = \frac{1}{u - v} + (v + R)^{-1}. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $A = Mat_N$. Consider the following classification problem: to describe all possible associative multiplications $\circ$ compatible with the usual matrix product in A . Since $H^2(A, A) = 0$ for any semi-simple associative algebra, an operator valued meromorphic function S_λ with the properties $S_0 = Id$ and (2.14) exists for any such multiplication and the multiplication is given by the formula (2.16).

Example. Let $a \in Mat_N$ be an arbitrary matrix and R be the operator of left multiplication by a . Then (2.16) yields the multiplication $x \circ y = xay$, which is associative and compatible with the standard one. It is clear that S_λ can be chosen in the form $S_\lambda(x) = (1 + \lambda a)x$. We have

$$r(u, v) = \frac{1}{u - v} + (v + a)^{-1}$$

in this case.

Any linear operator R on the space Mat_N may be written in the form $R(x) = a_1 x b^1 + \dots + a_l x b^l$ for some matrices $a_1, \dots, a_l, b^1, \dots, b^l$. Indeed, the operators $x \rightarrow e_{i,j} x e_{i_1, j_1}$ form a basis in the space of linear operators on Mat_N .

It is convenient to represent the operator R from the formula (2.16) in the form

$$R(x) = a_1 x b^1 + \dots + a_p x b^p + c x \quad (2.19)$$

with p being smallest possible in the class of equivalence of R . This means that the matrices $\{a_1, \dots, a_p, 1\}$ are linear independent as well as the matrices $\{b^1, \dots, b^p, 1\}$. According to (2.16), the second product has the following form

$$x \circ y = \sum_i (a_i x b^i y + x a_i y b^i - a_i x y b^i) + x c y. \quad (2.20)$$

It turns out that the matrices $\{a_1, \dots, a_p, b^1, \dots, b^p, c\}$ form a representation of a certain algebraic structure. We describe this structure in the next section.

3 M -structures and the corresponding associative algebras

In this Section we formulate the results of [1] and their simple consequences we will use below.

Definition. By weak M -structure on a linear space $\mathcal{L}$ we mean the following data:

- Two subspaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and a distinguished element $1 \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$.
- A non-degenerate symmetric scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on the space $\mathcal{L}$.
- Associative products $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with unity 1.
- A left action $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and a right action $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on the space $\mathcal{L}$ that commute to each other.

These data should satisfy the following properties:

1. $\dim \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \dim \mathcal{L} / (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) = 1$.
2. The restriction of the action $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ to the subspace $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is the product in $\mathcal{B}$. The restriction of the action $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ to the subspace $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is the product in $\mathcal{A}$.
3. $(a_1, a_2) = (b_1, b_2) = 0$ and $(b_1 b_2, v) = (b_1, b_2 v)$, $(v, a_1 a_2) = (v a_1, a_2)$ for any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}$.

It follows from these properties that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ defines a non - degenerate pairing between $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{C}1$ and $\mathcal{B}/\mathbb{C}1$ and therefore $\dim \mathcal{A} = \dim \mathcal{B}$ and $\dim \mathcal{L} = 2 \dim \mathcal{A}$.

Given a weak M -structure $\mathcal{L}$ we define an associative algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ generated by $\mathcal{L}$ and satisfying natural compatibility and universality conditions.

Definition. By weak M -algebra associated with a weak M -structure $\mathcal{L}$ we mean an associative algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ with a linear mapping $j : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow U(\mathcal{L})$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $j(b)j(x) = j(bx)$ and $j(x)j(a) = j(xa)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in \mathcal{L}$.
2. For any algebra X with a linear mapping $j' : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ satisfying the property 1 there exists a unique homomorphism of algebras $f : U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ j = j'$.

It is easy to see that $U(\mathcal{L})$ exists and is unique for given $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition. A weak M -structure $\mathcal{L}$ is called M -structure if there exists a central element $K \in U(\mathcal{L})$ of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ quadratic with respect to $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an M -structure. Then there exists a basis $\{1, A_1, \dots, A_p, B_1, \dots, B_p, C\}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\{1, A_1, \dots, A_p\}$ is a basis in $\mathcal{A}$, $\{1, B_1, \dots, B_p\}$ is a basis in $\mathcal{B}$ and

$$K = A_1 B_1 + \dots + A_p B_p + C.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $R \in \text{End}(U(\mathcal{L}))$ is given by the formula

$$R(x) = A_1 x B_1 + \dots + A_p x B_p + Cx$$

and $\circ$ is defined by (2.16). Then $\circ$ is associative and compatible with the usual product in $U(\mathcal{L})$.

Notice that $K = R(1)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\circ$ be an associative product in the space Mat_N compatible with the usual one and written in the form (2.16), where R is given by (2.19) with p being smallest possible in the class of equivalence of R . Then there exists an M -structure $\mathcal{L}$ with representation $U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_N$ such that $\dim \mathcal{A} = \dim \mathcal{B} = p + 1$, image of $\mathcal{A}$ has the basis $\{1, a_1, \dots, a_p\}$ and image of $\mathcal{B}$ has the basis $\{1, b_1, \dots, b_p\}$.

Definition. A representation of $U(\mathcal{L})$ is called non-degenerate if its restrictions on the algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are exact.

Theorem 3.4. There is one-to-one correspondence between N - dimensional non-degenerate representations of algebras $U(\mathcal{L})$ corresponding to M -structures and associative products in Mat_N compatible with the usual matrix product.

The structure of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ for M -structure $\mathcal{L}$ can be described as follows.

Theorem 3.5. The algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is spanned by the elements of the form $a b K^s$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We need also the following

Definition. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a weak M -structure. By the opposite weak M -structure $\mathcal{L}^{op}$ we mean an M -structure with the same linear space $\mathcal{L}$, the same scalar product and algebras $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ replaced by the opposite algebras $\mathcal{B}^{op}, \mathcal{A}^{op}$, correspondingly.

It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{L}$ is an M -structure, then $\mathcal{L}^{op}$ is an M -structure as well.

4 M -structures with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and quiver representations

4.1 Matrix of multiplicities

By V^l we denote the direct sum of l copies of a linear space V . By definition, we put $V^0 = \{0\}$. Recall [15] that any semi-simple associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ has the form $\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \text{End}(V_i)$, any left $\text{End}(V)$ -module has the form V^l , and any right $\text{End}(V)$ -module has the form $(V^*)^l$ for some r and l .

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a weak M -structure. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \text{End}(V_i)$, where $\dim V_i = m_i$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ as a right $\mathcal{A}$ -module is isomorphic to $\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} (V_i^*)^{2m_i}$.

Proof. Since any right $\mathcal{A}$ -module has the form $\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} (V_i^*)^{l_i}$ for some $l_1, \dots, l_r \geq 0$, we have $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathcal{L}_i$ where $\mathcal{L}_i = (V_i^*)^{l_i}$. Note that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}$ and, moreover, $\text{End}(V_i) \subset \mathcal{L}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Besides, $\text{End}(V_i) \perp \mathcal{L}_j$ for $i \neq j$. Indeed, we have $(v, a) = (v, Id_i a) = (v Id_i, a) = 0$ for $v \in \mathcal{L}_j$ and $a \in \text{End}(V_i)$, where Id_i is the unity of the subalgebra $\text{End}(V_i)$. Since $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is non-degenerate and $\text{End}(V_i) \perp \text{End}(V_i)$ by the property 3 of weak M -structure, we have $\dim \mathcal{L}_i \geq 2 \dim \text{End}(V_i)$. But $\sum_i \dim \mathcal{L}_i = \dim \mathcal{L} = 2 \dim \mathcal{A} = \sum_i 2 \dim \text{End}(V_i)$ and we obtain $\dim \mathcal{L}_i = 2 \dim \text{End}(V_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$, which is equivalent to the statement of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be semi-simple:

$$\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \text{End}(V_i), \quad \mathcal{B} = \oplus_{1 \leq j \leq s} \text{End}(W_j), \quad \dim V_i = m_i, \quad \dim W_j = n_j. \quad (4.21)$$

Then $\mathcal{L}$ as an $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s} (V_i^* \otimes W_j)^{a_{i,j}}, \quad (4.22)$$

where $a_{i,j} \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} n_j = 2m_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r a_{i,j} m_i = 2n_j. \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. It is known that any $\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module has the form $\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s} (V_i^* \otimes W_j)^{a_{i,j}}$, where $a_{i,j} \geq 0$. Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain $\dim \mathcal{L}_i = 2m_i^2$, where $\mathcal{L}_i = \oplus_{1 \leq j \leq s} (V_i^* \otimes W_j)^{a_{i,j}}$. This gives the first equation from (4.23). The second equation can be obtained similarly.

Definition. The $r \times s$ -matrix $(a_{i,j})$ from the Lemma 4.2 is called the matrix of multiplicities of the weak M -structure $\mathcal{L}$.

Definition. The $r \times s$ -matrix $(a_{i,j})$ is called decomposable if there exist partitions $\{1, \dots, r\} = I \sqcup I'$ and $\{1, \dots, s\} = J \sqcup J'$ such that $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $(i, j) \in I \times J' \sqcup I' \times J$.

Lemma 4.3. The matrix of multiplicities is indecomposable.

Proof. Suppose $(a_{i,j})$ is decomposable. We have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \oplus \mathcal{A}'', \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \oplus \mathcal{B}''$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' \oplus \mathcal{L}''$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}' &= \oplus_{i \in I} \text{End}(V_i), & \mathcal{A}'' &= \oplus_{i \in I'} \text{End}(V_i), & \mathcal{B}' &= \oplus_{j \in J} \text{End}(W_j), \\ \mathcal{B}'' &= \oplus_{j \in J'} \text{End}(W_j), & \mathcal{L}' &= \oplus_{(i,j) \in I \times J} (V_i^* \otimes W_j)^{a_{i,j}}, & \mathcal{L}'' &= \oplus_{(i,j) \in I' \times J'} (V_i^* \otimes W_j)^{a_{i,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 = e_1 + e_2$, where $e_1 \in \mathcal{L}'$ and $e_2 \in \mathcal{L}''$. It is clear that $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} > 1$, which contradicts to the property 1 of weak M -structure.

Note that if A is the matrix of multiplicities of a weak M structure with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, then A^t is the matrix of multiplicities of the opposite weak M -structure.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a weak M -structure with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ given by the formula (4.21) and with $\mathcal{L}$ given by (4.22). Then there exists a simple laced affine Dynkin diagram [16] with vector spaces from the set $\{V_1, \dots, V_r, W_1, \dots, W_s\}$ assigned to each vertex in such a way that:

1. there is an one-to-one correspondence between this set and the set of vertices,
2. for any i, j the spaces V_i, V_j are not connected by edges as well as the spaces W_i, W_j ,
3. $a_{i,j}$ is equal to the number of edges between V_i and W_j ,
4. the vector $(\dim V_1, \dots, \dim V_r, \dim W_1, \dots, \dim W_s)$ is a positive imaginary root of the diagram.

Proof. Consider a linear space with a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, w_1, \dots, w_s\}$ and the symmetric bilinear form $(v_i, v_j) = (w_i, w_j) = 2\delta_{i,j}$, $(v_i, w_j) = -a_{i,j}$. Let $J = m_1v_1 + \dots + m_rv_r + n_1w_1 + \dots + n_sw_s$. It is clear that the equations (4.23) can be written as $(v_i, J) = (w_j, J) = 0$, which means that J belongs to the kernel of the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Therefore (see [17]), the matrix of the form is a Cartan matrix of a simple laced affine Dynkin diagram. It is also clear that J is a positive imaginary root.

On the other hand, consider a simple laced affine Dynkin diagram with a partition of the set of vertices into two subsets such that vertices of the same subset are not connected. It is clear that if such a partition exists, then it is unique up to transposition of subsets. Let $v_1, \dots, v_r$ be roots corresponding to vertices of the first subset and $w_1, \dots, w_s$ be roots corresponding to the second subset. We have $(v_i, v_j) = (w_i, w_j) = 2\delta_{i,j}$. Let $a_{i,j} = -(v_i, w_j)$ and $J = m_1v_1 + \dots + m_rv_r + n_1w_1 + \dots + n_sw_s$ be an imaginary root. It is clear that (4.23) holds.

Remark. The interchanging of the subsets corresponds to the transposition of matrix $(a_{i,j})$.

It is easy to see that among simple laced affine Dynkin diagrams only diagrams of the $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$, $\tilde{D}_k$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, and $\tilde{E}_8$ -type admit a partition of the set of vertices into two subsets such that vertices of the same subset are not connected. The natural question arises: to describe all M -structures with the algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ given by (4.21) and $\mathcal{L}$ given by (4.22), where the matrix $(a_{i,j})$ is constructed by the affine Dynkin diagram of the $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$, $\tilde{D}_k$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, and $\tilde{E}_8$ -type. It turns out that these M -structures exist iff J is the minimal positive imaginary root.

4.2 M -structures related to affine Dynkin diagrams and quiver representations

We recall that the quiver is just a directed graph $Q = (Ver, E)$, where Ver is a finite set of vertices and E is a finite set of arrows between them. If $a \in E$ is an arrow, then t_a and h_a denote its tail and its head, respectively. Note that loops and several arrows with the same tail and head are allowed. A representation of the quiver Q is a set of vector spaces L_x attached to each vertex $x \in Ver$ and linear maps $f_a : L_{t_a} \rightarrow L_{h_a}$ attached to each arrow $a \in E$. The set of natural numbers $\dim L_x$ attached to each vertex $x \in Ver$ is called the dimension of the representation. By affine quiver we mean such a quiver that the corresponding graph is an affine Dynkin diagram of ADE -type.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an M -structure with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ given by (4.21). Then there exists a representation of an affine Dynkin quiver such that:

1. There is an one-to-one correspondence between the set of vector spaces attached to vertices of the quiver and the set of vector spaces $\{V_1, \dots, V_r, W_1, \dots, W_s\}$. Each vector space from this set is attached to only one vertex.

2. For any $a \in E$ the space attached to its tail t_a is some of V_i and the space attached to its head h_a is some of W_j .

3. $\mathcal{L}$ as $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{a \in E} V_{t_a}^* \otimes W_{h_a}$.

4. The vector $(\dim V_1, \dots, \dim V_r, \dim W_1, \dots, \dim W_s)$ is the minimal imaginary positive root of the Dynkin diagram.

5. The element $1 \in \mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{a \in E} \text{Hom}(V_{t_a}, W_{h_a})$ is just $\sum_{a \in E} f_a$, where f_a is the linear map attached to the arrow a .

Proof. In the Theorem 4.1 we have already constructed the affine Dynkin diagram corresponding to $\mathcal{L}$ with vector spaces $\{V_1, \dots, V_r, W_1, \dots, W_s\}$ attached to the vertices. Note that each edge of this affine Dynkin diagram links some linear spaces V_i and W_j . By definition, the direction of this edge is from V_i to W_j . The decomposition of the element $1 \in \mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s} (V_i^* \otimes W_j)^{a_{i,j}}$ defines the element from $V_i^* \otimes W_j$. Since $V_i^* \otimes W_j = \text{Hom}(V_i, W_j)$, we obtain a representation of the quiver. We know already that $J = (\dim V_1, \dots, \dim V_r, \dim W_1, \dots, \dim W_s)$ is an imaginary positive root. It is easy to see that if it is not minimal, then $\dim \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} > 1$.

Now we can use known classification of representations of affine quivers [8, 9, 10] to describe the corresponding M -structures. Note that each vertex of our quiver can not be a tail of one arrow and a head of another arrow at the same time. Given a representation of such a quiver, it remains to construct an embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and a scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on the space $\mathcal{L}$. We can construct the embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ by the formula $a \rightarrow 1a$, $b \rightarrow b1$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ whenever we know the element $1 \in \mathcal{L}$. After that it is not difficult to construct the scalar product.

Example. Consider the case $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$. We have $\dim V_i = \dim W_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\{v_i\}$ be a basis of V_i^* and $\{w_i\}$ be a basis of W_i . Let $\{e_i\}$ be a basis of $\text{End}(V_i)$ such that $v_i e_i = v_i$ and $\{f_i\}$ be a basis of $\text{End}(W_i)$ such that $f_i w_i = w_i$. A generic element $1 \in \mathcal{L}$ in a suitable basis in V_i, W_i can be written in the form $1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (v_i \otimes w_i + \lambda v_{i+1} \otimes w_i)$, where index i is taken modulo k and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a generic complex number. The embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is the following: $e_i \rightarrow 1e_i = v_i \otimes w_i + \lambda v_i \otimes w_{i-1}$, $f_i \rightarrow f_i 1 = v_i \otimes w_i + \lambda v_{i+1} \otimes w_i$. It is clear that the vector space $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is spanned by the vector $\sum_i (v_i \otimes w_i + \lambda v_i \otimes w_{i-1})$ and that the algebra $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $Q = (Ver, E)$ be an affine quiver and ρ be its representation constructed by a given M -structure $\mathcal{L}$ with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Let $Ver = Ver_t \sqcup Ver_h$, where Ver_t is the set of tails and Ver_h is the set of heads of arrows. We have $\rho : x \rightarrow V_x, y \rightarrow W_y, a \rightarrow f_a$ for $x \in Ver_t$, $y \in Ver_h$ and $a \in E$. It turns out that representations of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ can also be described in terms of representations of the quiver Q .

Theorem 4.3. Suppose we have a representation of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ in a linear space N ;

then there exists a representation $\tau : x \rightarrow N_x, a \rightarrow \phi_a; x \in Ver, a \in E$ of the quiver Q such that

1. The restriction of the representation on the subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset U(\mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{x \in Ver_t} V_x \otimes N_x$.
2. The restriction of the representation on the subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset U(\mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{x \in Ver_h} W_x \otimes N_x$.
3. The formula $f = \sum_{a \in E} f_a \otimes \phi_a$ defines an isomorphism $f : \bigoplus_{x \in Ver_t} V_x \otimes N_x \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Ver_h} W_x \otimes N_x$.

Proof. It is known that any representation of the algebra $End(V)$ has the form $V \otimes S$, where S is a linear space. The action is given by $f(v \otimes s) = (fv) \otimes s$. Therefore N has the form $N^a = \bigoplus_{x \in Ver_t} V_x \otimes N_x$ with respect to the action of $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} End(V_i)$ and has the form $N^b = \bigoplus_{x \in Ver_h} W_x \otimes N_x$ with respect to the action of $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq s} End(W_j)$ for some linear spaces N_x . Both linear spaces N^a and N^b are isomorphic to N . Thus we have linear spaces N_x attached to each $x \in Ver$ and isomorphism $f : \bigoplus_{x \in Ver_t} V_x \otimes N_x \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in Ver_h} W_x \otimes N_x$. Let $f = \sum_{x,y \in Ver} f_{x,y}$. It is easy to see that $f_{x,y} = 0$ if x and y are not linked by arrow and $f_{x,y} = f_a \otimes \phi_a$ for some ϕ_a if $x = t_a, y = h_a$. Here f_a is defined by Theorem 4.2 (see the property 5). This gives us a linear map ϕ_a attached to each arrow $a \in E$.

Remark 1. It is clear that all statements of this section are valid for weak M -structures with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. However, it is possible to check that any such a weak M -structure has a quadratic central element K and therefore is an M -structure.

Remark 2. It is clear from the Theorem 4.3 (see property 3) that

$$\dim N = \sum_{x \in Ver_t} m_x \dim N_x = \sum_{x \in Ver_h} n_x \dim N_x.$$

Moreover, if the representation τ is decomposable then the representation of $U(\mathcal{L})$ is also decomposable. Therefore, $\dim \tau$ must be a positive root for indecomposable representation. If this root is real, then the representation does not depend on parameters and corresponds to some special value of K . If this root is imaginary, then the representation depends on one parameter and the action of K also depends on this parameter. In Appendix we describe these representations for imaginary roots explicitly.

5 Appendix

In this appendix we give explicit formulas for M -algebras with semi-simple algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ based on known classification results on affine quiver representations. We give also formulas for the operator R with values in $End(U(\mathcal{L}))$. Note that $K = R(1)$. It turns out that in all cases

$$S_\lambda = 1 + \lambda R. \tag{5.24}$$

Moreover, the operator R satisfies some polynomial equation of degree 3 in the case $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$ and degree 4 in other cases. Using these equations one can define $(v + R)^{-1}$ with values in the localization $\mathbb{C}(K) \otimes U(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathbb{C}(K)$ is the field of rational functions in K . Formula (2.18) gives us the corresponding universal r -matrix with values in $\mathbb{C}(K) \otimes U(\mathcal{L})$. For any representation of $U(\mathcal{L})$ in a vector space N the image of this r -matrix is an r -matrix with values in $\text{End}(N)$.

The case $\tilde{A}_{2k-1}$

The algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have bases $\{e_i; i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{f_i; i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\}$ correspondingly with multiplications

$$e_i e_j = \delta_{i,j} e_i, \quad f_i f_j = \delta_{i,j} f_i. \quad (5.25)$$

The M -algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by $e_1, \dots, e_k, f_1, \dots, f_k$ with defining relations (5.25) and

$$e_1 + \dots + e_k = f_1 + \dots + f_k = 1,$$

$$f_i e_j = 0, \quad j - i \neq 0, 1.$$

The operator R can be written in the form:

$$R(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-1} e_i x f_j + f_k e_k x.$$

This operator satisfies the following equation

$$K R(x) - (K + 1) R^2(x) + R^3(x) = 0.$$

From this equation and (5.24) we obtain

$$(v + R)^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{v} x + \frac{1}{v(v+1)} (v + K)^{-1} (R^2(x) - (1 + v + K) R(x))$$

and r -matrix is given by (2.18).

For each generic value of K the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ has the following irreducible representation V . There are two bases $\{v_i; i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{w_i; i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\}$ of the space V such that

$$e_i v_j = \delta_{i,j} v_i, \quad f_i w_j = \delta_{i,j} w_i, \quad v_i = w_i - t w_{i-1}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}.$$

Here $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of representation. In this representation K acts as multiplication by $1/(1 - t^k)$.

The case $\tilde{D}_{2k}$

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus (\text{Mat}_2)^{k-2} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ has a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_{2k}, e_{2k+1}, e_{2\alpha, i, j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ with multiplication

$$e_\alpha e_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} e_\beta, \quad e_\alpha e_{\beta, i, j} = e_{\beta, i, j} e_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\alpha, i, j} e_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} e_{\alpha, i, j'}. \quad (5.26)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B} \cong (Mat_2)^{k-1}$ has a basis $\{e_{2\alpha-1,i,j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ with multiplication

$$e_{\alpha,i,j}e_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{j,i'}e_{\alpha,i,j'}. \quad (5.27)$$

The M -algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by $e_1, e_2, e_{2k}, e_{2k+1}, e_{\alpha,i,j}; 3 \leq \alpha \leq 2k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ with defining relations (5.26), (5.27) and

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 + e_2 + e_{2k} + e_{2k+1} + \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{2\alpha,i,i} &= \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{2\alpha-1,i,i} = 1, \\ e_{2\alpha-1,i,j}e_{\beta} &= 0, \quad 2 < \alpha < k, \quad \beta = 1, 2, 2k, 2k+1, \\ e_{2\alpha-1,i,j}e_{2\beta,i',j'} &= 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \beta+1, \\ e_{3,1,2}e_1 &= e_{3,2,2}e_1 = e_{3,1,1}e_2 = e_{3,2,1}e_2 = 0, \\ e_{2\alpha-1,i,j}e_{2\alpha,i',j'} &= e_{2\alpha+1,i,j}e_{2\alpha,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i', \\ e_{2\alpha-1,i,1}e_{2\alpha,1,j} &= e_{2\alpha-1,i,2}e_{2\alpha,2,j}, \quad e_{2\alpha+1,i,1}e_{2\alpha,1,j} = e_{2\alpha+1,i,2}e_{2\alpha,2,j}, \\ e_{2k-1,1,1}e_{2k} &= e_{2k-1,1,2}e_{2k}, \quad e_{2k-1,2,1}e_{2k} = e_{2k-1,2,2}e_{2k}, \\ e_{2k-1,1,2}e_{2k+1} &= \lambda e_{2k-1,1,1}e_{2k+1}, \quad e_{2k-1,2,2}e_{2k+1} = \lambda e_{2k-1,2,1}e_{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The operator R can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq k-1} (\lambda e_1 x e_{2\alpha+1,2,2} - \lambda e_1 x e_{2\alpha+1,2,1} + e_2 x e_{2\alpha+1,1,1} - e_2 x e_{2\alpha+1,1,2} + e_{2k} x e_{2\alpha+1,1,1} + \\ &\quad \lambda e_{2k} x e_{2\alpha+1,2,2} + \lambda e_{2k+1} x e_{2\alpha+1,1,1} + \lambda e_{2k+1} x e_{2\alpha+1,2,2}) + \\ &\quad \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 2 \leq \beta \leq k} (\lambda e_{2\alpha,1,1} x e_{2\beta-1,2,2} + e_{2\alpha,2,2} x e_{2\beta-1,1,1}) - \\ &\quad \sum_{2 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq k} (\lambda e_{2\alpha,1,1} x e_{2\beta-1,2,1} + e_{2\alpha,2,2} x e_{2\beta-1,1,2}) + \\ &\quad \sum_{2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq k-1} (\lambda e_{2\alpha,2,1} x e_{2\beta-1,2,2} + e_{2\alpha,1,2} x e_{2\beta-1,1,1}) + (1 - \lambda) e_{2k-1,2,2} e_{2k+1} x. \end{aligned}$$

This operator satisfies the following equation

$$R^4(x) - (1 + \lambda + K)R^3(x) + (\lambda + K + \lambda K)R^2(x) - \lambda K R(x) = 0.$$

From this equation and (5.24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (v + R)^{-1}(x) &= -\frac{1}{v}x + \frac{1}{v(v+1)(v+\lambda)}(v+K)^{-1} \left(R^3(x) - (1 + v + \lambda + K)R^2(x) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (v^2 + \lambda v + v + \lambda + (1 + v + \lambda)K)R(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and r -matrix is given by (2.18).

For each generic value of K the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ has the following irreducible representation V of dimension $4k - 4$. There are two bases $\{v_1, v_2, v_{2k}, v_{2k+1}, v_{2\alpha, i, j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ and $\{v_{2\alpha-1, i, j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ of the space V such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha v_\beta &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} v_\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 2k, 2k+1, \\ e_\alpha v_{2\beta, i, j} &= e_{2\beta, i, j} v_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 2k, 2k+1 \quad 2 \leq \beta \leq k-1, \\ e_{2\alpha, i, j} v_{2\beta, i', j'} &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} v_{2\alpha, i, j'}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k-1, \\ e_{2\alpha-1, i, j} v_{2\beta-1, i', j'} &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} v_{2\alpha-1, i, j'}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= v_{3,1,1}, \quad v_2 = v_{3,2,2}, \\ v_{2\alpha, i, j} &= v_{2\alpha+1, i, j} - v_{2\alpha-1, i, j}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \\ v_{2k} &= v_{2k-1,1,1} + v_{2k-1,2,1} + v_{2k-1,1,2} + v_{2k-1,2,2}, \\ v_{2k+1} &= v_{2k-1,1,1} + \lambda v_{2k-1,2,1} + t v_{2k-1,1,2} + \lambda t v_{2k-1,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of representation. In this representation K acts as multiplication by $\mu = \lambda(t-1)/(t-\lambda)$.

The case $\tilde{D}_{2k-1}$

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus (Mat_2)^{k-2}$ has a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_{2\alpha, i, j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ with multiplication

$$e_\alpha e_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} e_\beta, \quad e_\alpha e_{\beta, i, j} = e_{\beta, i, j} e_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\alpha, i, j} e_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} e_{\alpha, i, j'}. \quad (5.28)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus (Mat_2)^{k-2}$ has a basis $\{e_{2k-1}, e_{2k}, e_{2\alpha-1, i, j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ with multiplication

$$e_\alpha e_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} e_\beta, \quad e_\alpha e_{\beta, i, j} = e_{\beta, i, j} e_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\alpha, i, j} e_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} e_{\alpha, i, j'}. \quad (5.29)$$

The M -algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by $e_1, e_2, e_{2k-1}, e_{2k}, e_{\alpha, i, j}; 3 \leq \alpha \leq 2k-2, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ with defining relations (5.28), (5.29) and

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha e_\beta &= 0, \quad \alpha = 2k-1, 2k, \quad \beta = 1, 2, \\ e_1 + e_2 + \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{2\alpha, i, i} &= e_{2k-1} + e_{2k} + \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{2\alpha-1, i, i} = 1, \\ e_{2\alpha-1, i, j} e_\beta &= 0, \quad \alpha > 2, \quad \beta = 1, 2, \\ e_{2\alpha-1, i, j} e_{2\beta, i', j'} &= 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \beta+1, \\ e_\alpha e_{2\beta, i, j} &= 0, \quad \beta < k-1, \quad \alpha = 2k-1, 2k, \\ e_{3,1,2} e_1 &= e_{3,2,2} e_1 = e_{3,1,1} e_2 = e_{3,2,1} e_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{2\alpha-1,i,j}e_{2\alpha,i',j'} &= e_{2\alpha+1,i,j}e_{2\alpha,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i', \\
e_{2\alpha-1,i,1}e_{2\alpha,1,j} &= e_{2\alpha-1,i,2}e_{2\alpha,2,j}, \quad e_{2\alpha+1,i,1}e_{2\alpha,1,j} = e_{2\alpha+1,i,2}e_{2\alpha,2,j}, \\
e_{2k-1}e_{2k-2,1,1} &= e_{2k-1}e_{2k-2,2,1}, \quad e_{2k-1}e_{2k-2,1,2} = e_{2k-1}e_{2k-2,2,2}, \\
e_{2k}e_{2k-2,2,1} &= \lambda e_{2k}e_{2k-2,1,1}, \quad e_{2k}e_{2k-2,2,2} = \lambda e_{2k}e_{2k-2,1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The operator R can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x) &= (\lambda - 1)e_1xe_{2k-1} + \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1} ((\lambda - 1)e_1xe_{2\alpha-1,2,2} + (\lambda - 1)e_{2\alpha,1,1}xe_{2k-1} - \lambda e_2xe_{2\alpha-1,1,2} - \\
&\quad e_1xe_{2\alpha-1,2,1} + \lambda e_2xe_{2\alpha-1,2,2} + \lambda e_1xe_{2\alpha-1,1,1}) + \sum_{2 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k-1} ((\lambda - 1)e_{2\alpha,1,1}xe_{2\beta-1,2,2} + \\
&\quad \lambda e_{2\alpha,1,1}xe_{2\beta-1,1,1} + \lambda e_{2\alpha,2,2}xe_{2\beta-1,2,2}) + \sum_{2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq k-1} (\lambda e_{2\alpha,1,2}xe_{2\beta-1,1,1} + e_{2\alpha,2,1}xe_{2\beta-1,2,2}) - \\
&\quad \sum_{2 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq k-1} (\lambda e_{2\alpha,2,2}xe_{2\beta-1,1,2} + e_{2\alpha,1,1}xe_{2\beta-1,2,1}) + (\lambda - 1)xe_{2k}e_{2k-2,2,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This operator satisfies the following equation

$$R^4(x) - R^3(x)(2\lambda - 1 + K) + R^2(x)(\lambda^2 - \lambda - K + 2\lambda K) - \lambda(\lambda - 1)R(x)K = 0.$$

From this equation and (5.24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(v+R)^{-1}(x) &= -\frac{1}{v}x + \frac{1}{v(v+\lambda)(v+\lambda-1)} \left(R^3(x) - R^2(x)(v+2\lambda-1+K) + R(x)(v^2+2\lambda v+\lambda^2-v-\lambda+ \right. \\
&\quad \left. (v-1+2\lambda)K) \right) (v+K)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and r -matrix is given by (2.18).

For each generic value of K the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ has the following irreducible representation V of dimension $4k - 6$. There are two bases $\{v_1, v_2, v_{2\alpha,i,j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ and $\{v_{2k-1}, v_{2k}, v_{2\alpha-1,i,j}; 2 \leq \alpha \leq k-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ of the space V such that

$$\begin{aligned}
e_\alpha v_\beta &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} v_\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \\
e_\alpha v_{2\beta,i,j} &= e_{2\beta,i,j} v_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad 2 \leq \beta \leq k-1, \\
e_{2\alpha,i,j} v_{2\beta,i',j'} &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,i'} v_{2\alpha,i,j'}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k-1, \\
e_\alpha v_\beta &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} v_\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 2k-1, 2k, \\
e_\alpha v_{2\beta-1,i,j} &= e_{2\beta-1,i,j} v_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 2k-1, 2k, \quad 2 \leq \beta \leq k-1, \\
e_{2\alpha-1,i,j} v_{2\beta-1,i',j'} &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,i'} v_{2\alpha-1,i,j'}, \quad 2 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq k-1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= v_{3,1,1}, & v_2 &= v_{3,2,2}, \\
v_{2\alpha,i,j} &= v_{2\alpha+1,i,j} - v_{2\alpha-1,i,j}, & 2 \leq \alpha < k-1, & \quad i, j = 1, 2, \\
v_{2k-2,1,1} &= v_{2k-1} + v_{2k} - v_{2k-3,1,1}, & v_{2k-2,2,2} &= v_{2k-1} + \lambda t v_{2k} - v_{2k-3,2,2}, \\
v_{2k-2,1,2} &= v_{2k-1} + t v_{2k} - v_{2k-3,1,2}, & v_{2k-2,2,1} &= v_{2k-1} + \lambda v_{2k} - v_{2k-3,2,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of representation. In this representation K acts as multiplication by $\mu = t\lambda(1-\lambda)/(1-t\lambda)$.

The case $\tilde{E}_6$

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus Mat_3$ has a basis $\{e_\alpha, e_{\beta,\gamma}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\}$ with multiplication

$$e_\alpha e_\beta = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} e_\beta, \quad e_\alpha e_{\beta,\gamma} = e_{\beta,\gamma} e_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\beta,\gamma} e_{\beta',\gamma'} = \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'} e_{\beta,\gamma'}. \quad (5.30)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B} \cong Mat_2 \oplus Mat_2 \oplus Mat_2$ has a basis $\{f_{\alpha,i,j}; \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ with multiplication

$$f_{\alpha,i,j} f_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,i'} f_{\alpha,i,j'}. \quad (5.31)$$

The M -algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by $e_\alpha, e_{\beta,\gamma}, f_{\alpha,i,j}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ with defining relations (5.30), (5.31) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} e_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} e_{\beta,\beta} &= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 3, 1 \leq i \leq 2} f_{\alpha,i,i} = 1, \\
f_{\alpha,i,j} e_\beta &= 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \quad f_{\alpha,i,2} e_\alpha = \lambda f_{\alpha,i,1} e_\alpha, \\
f_{\alpha,i,j} e_{\alpha+1,\beta} &= 0, \quad f_{\alpha,i,1} e_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, \quad f_{\alpha+1,i,2} e_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, \\
f_{\alpha,i,2} e_{\alpha,\beta} &= f_{\alpha,i,1} e_{\alpha-1,\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The operator R can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x) &= (\lambda^3 - 1) \left(e_1 x f_{1,2,2} + e_1 x f_{2,1,1} + e_1 x f_{2,2,2} + e_1 x f_{3,1,1} + e_2 x f_{2,2,2} + e_2 x f_{3,1,1} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. e_{1,1} x f_{2,2,2} + e_{1,1} x f_{3,1,1} + e_{3,3} x f_{1,2,2} + e_{3,3} x f_{2,1,1} + e_{3,3} x f_{2,2,2} + e_{3,3} x f_{3,1,1} \right) + \\
&\quad \lambda^3 \left(e_{1,1} x f_{1,2,2} + e_{1,1} x f_{2,1,1} + e_{2,2} x f_{2,2,2} + e_{2,2} x f_{3,1,1} + e_{3,3} x f_{1,1,1} + e_{3,3} x f_{3,2,2} \right) + \\
&\quad \lambda^2 \left(e_{1,1} x f_{1,1,2} + e_{2,2} x f_{2,1,2} + e_{3,3} x f_{3,1,2} - e_{1,2} x f_{1,2,2} - e_{1,3} x f_{2,2,1} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. e_{2,1} x f_{3,2,1} - e_{2,3} x f_{2,2,2} - e_{3,1} x f_{3,2,2} - e_{3,2} x f_{1,2,1} \right) + \\
&\quad \lambda \left(e_{1,1} x f_{2,2,1} + e_{2,2} x f_{3,2,1} + e_{3,3} x f_{1,2,1} - e_{1,2} x f_{1,1,2} - e_{1,3} x f_{2,1,1} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. e_{2,1} x f_{3,1,1} - e_{2,3} x f_{2,1,2} - e_{3,1} x f_{3,1,2} - e_{3,2} x f_{1,1,1} \right) + (\lambda^3 - 1) f_{3,2,2} e_3 x.
\end{aligned}$$

This operator satisfies the following equation

$$R^4(x) - (2\lambda^3 - 1 + K)R^3(x) + (\lambda^6 - \lambda^3 - K + 2\lambda^3 K)R^2(x) - \lambda^3(\lambda^3 - 1)KR(x) = 0.$$

From this equation and (5.24) we obtain

$$(v + R)^{-1}(x) = -\frac{1}{v}x + \frac{1}{v(v + \lambda^3)(v + \lambda^3 - 1)}(v + K)^{-1}\left(R^3(x) - (v + 2\lambda^3 - 1 + K)R^2(x) + (v^2 + 2\lambda^3 v + \lambda^6 - v - \lambda^3 + (v - 1 + 2\lambda^3)K)R(x)\right)$$

and r -matrix is given by (2.18).

For each generic value of K the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ has the following irreducible representation V . There are two bases $\{v_\alpha, v_{\beta, \gamma}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{w_{\alpha, i, j}; \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$ of the space V such that

$$e_\alpha v_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} v_\beta, \quad e_\alpha v_{\beta, \gamma} = e_{\beta, \gamma} v_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\beta, \gamma} v_{\beta', \gamma'} = \delta_{\gamma, \gamma'} v_{\beta, \gamma'},$$

$$f_{\alpha, i, j} w_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} w_{\alpha, i, j'}$$

and

$$v_\alpha = w_{\alpha, 1, 1} + \lambda w_{\alpha, 2, 1} + t w_{\alpha, 1, 2} + \lambda t w_{\alpha, 2, 2},$$

$$v_{\alpha, \alpha} = w_{\alpha, 2, 2}, \quad v_{\alpha+1, \alpha} = w_{\alpha+2, 1, 1}, \quad v_{\alpha+2, \alpha} = w_{\alpha+2, 2, 1} + w_{\alpha, 1, 2}.$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of representation. In this representation K acts as multiplication by $\mu = \frac{\lambda^3(\lambda^3 - 1)}{(\lambda^3 - t^3)}$.

The case $\tilde{E}_7$

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus Mat_3 \oplus Mat_2 \oplus Mat_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ has a basis $\{e_1, e_{2, i_1, j_1}, e_{3, i_2, j_2}, e_{4, i_3, j_3}, e_5; 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_3, j_3 \leq 3, 1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq 2\}$ with multiplication

$$e_\alpha e_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} e_\beta, \quad e_\alpha e_{\beta, i, j} = e_{\beta, i, j} e_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\alpha, i, j} e_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} e_{\alpha, i, j'}. \quad (5.32)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B} \cong Mat_2 \oplus Mat_4 \oplus Mat_2$ has a basis $\{f_{1, i_1, j_1}, f_{2, i_2, j_2}, f_{3, i_3, j_3}; 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_3, j_3 \leq 2, 1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq 4\}$ with multiplication

$$f_{\alpha, i, j} f_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} f_{\alpha, i, j'}. \quad (5.33)$$

The M -algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by $e_1, e_{2, i, j}, e_{3, i, j}, e_{4, i, j}, e_5, f_{1, i, j}, f_{2, i, j}, f_{3, i, j}$ with defining relations (5.32), (5.33) and

$$e_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} e_{2, i, i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{3, i, i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} e_{4, i, i} + e_5 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} f_{1, i, i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} f_{2, i, i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} f_{3, i, i} = 1,$$

$$f_{1, i, j} e_{3, i', j'} = f_{1, i, j} e_{4, i', j'} = f_{1, i, j} e_5 = f_{2, i, j} e_1 = f_{2, i, j} e_5 = f_{3, i, j} e_1 = f_{3, i, j} e_{2, i', j'} = f_{3, i, j} e_{3, i', j'} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,i,2}e_1 &= f_{3,i,2}e_5 = 0, \quad f_{1,i,j}e_{2,i',j'} = f_{3,i,j}e_{4,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i', \\
f_{1,i,1}e_{2,1,j} &= f_{1,i,2}e_{2,2,j}, \quad f_{3,i,1}e_{4,1,j} = f_{3,i,2}e_{4,2,j}, \\
f_{2,i,j}e_{2,i',j'} &= 0, \quad j \neq i', \\
f_{2,i,1}e_{2,1,j} &= f_{2,i,2}e_{2,2,j} = f_{2,i,3}e_{2,3,j}, \\
f_{2,i,j}e_{4,i',j'} &= 0, \quad (j, i') \notin \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (4, 3)\}, \\
f_{2,i,1}e_{4,2,j} &= f_{2,i,2}e_{4,1,j} = f_{2,i,4}e_{4,3,j}, \\
f_{2,i,1}e_{3,2,j'} &= f_{2,i,2}e_{3,1,j} = 0, \\
f_{2,i,1}e_{3,1,j} &= f_{2,i,2}e_{3,2,j} = f_{2,i,3}e_{3,1,j} = f_{2,i,3}e_{3,2,j} = f_{2,i,4}e_{3,1,j} = \lambda^{-1}f_{2,i,4}e_{3,2,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

The operator R can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x) &= \lambda(\lambda-1)(e_{2,1,2}xf_{2,4,1}+e_{2,3,2}xf_{2,4,3}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{2,4,2}-e_{4,3,1}xf_{2,4,4})+(\lambda+1)(e_5xf_{3,2,2}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{1,1,1}+ \\
&e_5xf_{1,1,1}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{1,1,1}+e_5xf_{2,1,1}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,1,1}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{3,2,2}+ \\
&e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{3,2,2})+(\lambda-1)(e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,3,2}+e_{4,2,2}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{4,3,2}xf_{2,3,4}-e_{2,3,1}xf_{2,3,3})+\lambda(e_{2,2,2}xf_{1,1,2}- \\
&e_{2,2,3}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,1,1}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,1,2}+e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,1,2}+e_5xf_{2,1,2}+e_{4,3,1}xf_{2,1,4}+e_{4,3,2}xf_{2,1,4}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,1,2}+ \\
&e_{4,1,2}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{3,1,1}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{2,2,2}-e_{4,3,1}xf_{2,2,4}+e_5xf_{1,1,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,1,1}-e_{2,1,3}xf_{1,1,1}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{1,1,1}+ \\
&e_{4,3,3}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{4,2,2}xf_{1,1,1}+e_{4,3,3}xf_{1,1,1}+e_{2,3,2}xf_{2,2,3}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{2,1,1}+e_5xf_{3,2,1}-e_{2,3,1}xf_{2,1,3}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{2,1,1}- \\
&e_{2,3,2}xf_{2,1,3}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,1,3}+e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{4,2,2}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{2,1,2}xf_{2,2,1}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{2,3,3}+ \\
&e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,3,3}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{4,3,3}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,1,2}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}-e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{4,3,3}xf_{2,3,3}+ \\
&e_5xf_{2,3,3}+e_{4,3,3}xf_{3,2,2})+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,1,1}-e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{2,2,2}xf_{1,2,1}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,1,1}- \\
&e_{2,2,2}xf_{3,1,2}-e_{2,2,2}xf_{2,2,1}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,1,2}-e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,1,2}-e_{3,2,2}xf_{3,1,2}-e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{2,3,3}- \\
&e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{3,2,2}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,2,1}-e_{3,1,1}xf_{2,2,1}-e_{3,2,2}xf_{2,2,1}-e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,2,1}- \\
&e_{4,2,3}xf_{3,1,2}-e_{4,3,3}xf_{3,1,2}-e_5xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{3,2,2}+e_5xf_{2,4,4}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{3,1,1}-e_{4,2,2}xf_{2,2,1}-e_{4,3,3}xf_{2,2,1}- \\
&e_5xf_{2,2,1}-e_{4,2,2}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{4,3,3}xf_{1,2,1}-e_5xf_{1,2,1}+e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}+ \\
&e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,1,1}-e_{4,1,3}xf_{3,1,1}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,2,2}+ \\
&e_{2,2,1}xf_{1,1,1}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,1,1}-e_{4,3,2}xf_{2,2,4}+e_{4,3,3}xf_{2,2,4}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{2,4,4}+e_{2,3,1}xf_{2,2,3}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{1,1,1}+ \\
&e_{4,1,2}xf_{1,1,1}+f_{1,2,1}e_1x.
\end{aligned}$$

For each generic value of K the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ has the following irreducible representation V . There are two bases $\{v_1, v_2, v_{i_1, j_1}, v_3, v_{i_2, j_2}, v_4, v_{i_3, j_3}, v_5; 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_3, j_3 \leq 3, 1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq 2\}$ and $\{w_1, w_{i_1, j_1}, w_2, w_{i_2, j_2}, w_3, w_{i_3, j_3}; 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_3, j_3 \leq 2, 1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq 4\}$ of the space V such that

$$e_\alpha v_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} v_\beta, \quad e_\alpha v_{\beta, i, j} = e_{\beta, i, j} v_\alpha = 0, \quad e_{\alpha, i, j} v_{\beta, i', j'} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{j, i'} v_{\alpha, i, j'},$$

$$f_{\alpha,i,j}w_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{j,i'}w_{\alpha,i,j'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= w_{1,1,1}, & v_5 &= w_{3,1,1}, \\ v_{2,i,j} &= w_{1,i,j} + w_{2,i,j}, & v_{2,i,3} &= w_{2,i,3} \\ v_{2,3,j} &= w_{2,3,j}, & v_{2,3,3} &= w_{2,3,3}, & i, j &\leq 2, \\ v_{4,i,j} &= w_{3,i,j} + w_{2,\sigma_i,\sigma_j}, & v_{4,i,3} &= w_{2,\sigma_i,4}, & v_{4,3,j} &= w_{2,4,\sigma_j}, \\ v_{4,3,3} &= w_{2,4,4}, & i, j &\leq 2, & \sigma_1 &= 2, & \sigma_2 &= 1, \\ v_{3,1,1} &= w_{2,1,1} + w_{2,1,3} + w_{2,3,1} + w_{2,3,3} + w_{2,1,4} + w_{2,3,4} + w_{2,4,1} + w_{2,4,3} + w_{2,4,4}, \\ v_{3,1,2} &= w_{2,1,2} + w_{2,1,3} + w_{2,3,2} + w_{2,3,3} + w_{2,4,2} + w_{2,4,3} + t(w_{2,1,4} + w_{2,3,4} + w_{2,4,4}), \\ v_{3,2,1} &= w_{2,2,1} + w_{2,2,3} + w_{2,2,4} + w_{2,3,1} + w_{2,3,3} + w_{2,3,4} + \lambda(w_{2,4,1} + w_{2,4,3} + w_{2,4,4}), \\ v_{3,2,2} &= w_{2,2,2} + w_{2,2,3} + w_{2,3,2} + w_{2,3,3} + \lambda(w_{2,4,2} + w_{2,4,3}) + t(w_{2,2,4} + w_{2,3,4}) + \lambda tw_{2,4,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of representation. In this representation K acts as $\frac{\lambda(t-1)}{t-\lambda}$.

The case $\tilde{E}_8$

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong Mat_2 \oplus Mat_6 \oplus Mat_4 \oplus Mat_2$ has a basis $\{e_{1,i_1,j_1}, e_{2,i_2,j_2}, e_{3,i_3,j_3}, e_{4,i_4,j_4}; 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_4, j_4 \leq 2, 1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq 6, 1 \leq i_3, j_3 \leq 4\}$ with multiplication

$$e_{\alpha,i,j}e_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{j,i'}e_{\alpha,i,j'}. \quad (5.34)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{B} \cong Mat_4 \oplus Mat_3 \oplus Mat_5 \oplus Mat_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ has a basis $\{f_{1,i_1,j_1}, f_{2,i_2,j_2}, f_{3,i_3,j_3}, f_{4,i_4,j_4}, f_5; 1 \leq i_1, j_1 \leq 4, 1 \leq i_2, j_2, i_4, j_4 \leq 3, 1 \leq i_3, j_3 \leq 5\}$ with multiplication

$$f_5^2 = f_5, \quad f_5 f_{\alpha,i,j} = f_{\alpha,i,j} f_5 = 0, \quad f_{\alpha,i,j} f_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,i'} f_{\alpha,i,j'}. \quad (5.35)$$

The M -algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ is generated by $e_{1,i,j}, e_{2,i,j}, e_{3,i,j}, e_{4,i,j}, f_{1,i,j}, f_{2,i,j}, f_{3,i,j}, f_{4,i,j}, f_5$ with defining relations (5.34), (5.35) and

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{1,i,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 6} e_{2,i,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} e_{3,i,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} e_{4,i,i} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} f_{1,i,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_{2,i,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} f_{3,i,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} f_{4,i,i} + f_5 = 1,$$

$$f_{1,i,j}e_{3,i',j'} = f_{1,i,j}e_{4,i',j'} = f_{2,i,j}e_{1,i',j'} = f_{2,i,j}e_{3,i',j'} = f_{2,i,j}e_{4,i',j'} = f_{3,i,j}e_{1,i',j'} = f_{3,i,j}e_{4,i',j'} =$$

$$f_{4,i,j}e_{1,i',j'} = f_{4,i,j}e_{2,i',j'} = f_5 e_{1,i,j} = f_5 e_{2,i,j} = f_5 e_{3,i,j} = 0,$$

$$f_5 e_{4,2,i} = 0, \quad f_{4,i,1}e_{4,1,j} = f_{4,i,2}e_{4,2,j}, \quad f_{4,i,j}e_{4,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i',$$

$$f_{4,i,1}e_{3,1,j} = f_{4,i,2}e_{3,2,j} = f_{4,i,3}e_{3,3,j}, \quad f_{4,i,j}e_{3,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i',$$

$$f_{3,i,1}e_{3,1,j} = f_{3,i,2}e_{3,2,j} = f_{3,i,3}e_{3,3,j} = f_{3,i,4}e_{3,4,j}, \quad f_{3,i,j}e_{3,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i',$$

$$f_{3,i,1}e_{2,1,j} = f_{3,i,2}e_{2,2,j} = f_{3,i,3}e_{2,3,j} = f_{3,i,4}e_{2,4,j} = f_{3,i,5}e_{2,5,j}, \quad f_{3,i,j}e_{2,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i',$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,i,1}e_{1,1,j} &= f_{1,i,2}e_{1,2,j}, \quad f_{1,i,j}e_{1,i',j'} = 0, \quad j \neq i', \\
f_{1,i,1}e_{2,1,j} &= f_{1,i,2}e_{2,2,j} = f_{1,i,3}e_{2,4,j} = f_{1,i,4}e_{2,6,j}, \quad f_{1,i,j}e_{2,i',j'} = 0, \quad (j, i') \notin \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 4), (4, 6)\}, \\
f_{2,i,1}e_{2,2,j} &= f_{2,i,1}e_{2,4,j} = f_{2,i,1}e_{2,5,j} = f_{2,i,2}e_{2,1,j} = f_{2,i,2}e_{2,3,j} = f_{2,i,2}e_{2,4,j} = f_{2,i,3}e_{2,1,j} = f_{2,i,3}e_{2,6,j} = \\
&\lambda^{-1}f_{2,i,3}e_{2,5,j}, \quad f_{2,i,j}e_{2,i',j'} = 0, \quad (j, i') \notin \{(1, 2), (1, 4), (1, 5), (2, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 1), (3, 6), (3, 5)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The operator R can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x) &= (\lambda + 1)(e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,6,6}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,3,3} + \\
&e_{2,1,1}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{2,6,6}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,1,1} + \\
&e_{2,6,6}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,5,5} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,5,5} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,5,5} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,5,5} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,5,5} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,5,5} + \\
&e_{2,6,6}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,2,2} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{4,2,2} + \\
&e_{1,1,1}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,2,2} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,2,2} + e_{2,6,6}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,2,2} + \\
&e_{2,6,6}xf_{3,2,2} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,2,2} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,2,2} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,2,2}) + \\
&(\lambda - 1)(e_{2,3,1}xf_{4,3,3} - e_{2,4,4}xf_{1,2,3} - e_{3,4,4}xf_{1,2,3} + e_{3,3,4}xf_{4,2,3} + e_{3,3,1}xf_{4,3,3} + e_{2,1,4}xf_{3,2,1} + e_{2,1,4}xf_{4,2,1} - \\
&e_{3,4,4}xf_{3,2,4} - e_{2,6,4}xf_{1,2,4} - e_{3,4,1}xf_{3,3,4} - e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,3,2} + e_{2,3,1}xf_{3,3,3} + e_{2,5,4}xf_{3,2,5} + e_{2,5,1}xf_{3,3,5} - e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,3,1} - \\
&e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,3,2} + e_{3,1,4}xf_{4,2,1} - e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,3,1} + e_{2,3,4}xf_{4,2,3} + e_{3,2,4}xf_{4,2,2} + e_{2,2,4}xf_{3,2,2} - e_{4,1,1}xf_{4,3,1} + e_{2,2,4}xf_{4,2,2} + \\
&e_{2,3,4}xf_{3,2,3} - e_{4,2,1}xf_{4,3,2}) + \lambda(e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,4,1} + e_{3,4,3}xf_{1,1,3} - e_{3,4,2}xf_{1,1,3} + e_{3,4,1}xf_{1,1,3} - e_{2,4,2}xf_{1,2,3} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,1,1} + \\
&e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,1,2} + e_{3,1,3}xf_{1,1,1} - e_{2,4,5}xf_{1,2,3} - e_{2,1,2}xf_{1,1,1} - e_{3,4,2}xf_{1,2,3} + e_{2,4,2}xf_{1,3,3} - e_{2,1,2}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,1,3}xf_{1,1,1} - \\
&e_{1,1,2}xf_{1,3,3} - e_{2,6,4}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,4,4}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{3,3,2}xf_{4,2,3} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,3,5}xf_{3,1,3} + e_{2,5,5}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{3,4,4}xf_{1,3,3} + \\
&e_{3,3,3}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{3,4,2}xf_{1,3,3} - e_{3,1,2}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{1,2,1}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{4,1,2}xf_{1,3,3} + e_{2,4,2}xf_{1,4,3} - \\
&e_{2,4,1}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{2,2,1}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{1,1,2}xf_{4,3,3} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{2,6,6}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{2,1,2}xf_{4,3,3} + e_{2,5,5}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{2,3,5}xf_{1,4,3} + \\
&e_{2,4,4}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{4,3,3} + e_{3,2,1}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{2,1,5}xf_{1,1,1} + e_{3,4,2}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{3,4,1}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{3,4,1}xf_{3,1,4} + e_{3,1,3}xf_{3,1,1} + \\
&e_{4,2,1}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{2,3,5}xf_{4,3,3} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,4,3} + e_{3,4,4}xf_{1,4,3} - e_{2,6,4}xf_{4,3,3} - e_{2,6,2}xf_{1,1,4} + e_{3,4,3}xf_{3,1,4} - e_{3,4,2}xf_{3,1,4} + \\
&e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,1,1} + e_{2,6,1}xf_{1,1,4} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,3,5} + e_{2,6,3}xf_{1,1,4} - e_{3,1,2}xf_{4,3,3} - e_{3,1,2}xf_{1,1,1} - e_{2,6,5}xf_{1,1,4} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{4,3,3} + \\
&e_{3,1,2}xf_{4,2,1} - e_{2,6,2}xf_{1,2,4} - e_{2,6,5}xf_{1,2,4} - e_{2,2,2}xf_{3,4,2} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,1,1} - e_{4,1,2}xf_{1,1,1} + e_{1,1,2}xf_{1,2,1} - e_{4,1,2}xf_{4,3,3} - \\
&e_{3,4,2}xf_{3,2,4} + e_{2,6,2}xf_{1,3,4} + e_{2,6,4}xf_{1,3,4} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,4,4} - e_{1,1,2}xf_{1,4,4} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,4,4} - e_{2,2,4}xf_{3,4,2} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,4,4} + \\
&e_{2,6,2}xf_{1,4,4} - e_{2,1,2}xf_{1,4,4} - e_{2,6,1}xf_{1,4,4} - e_{2,3,5}xf_{1,4,4} - e_{3,1,2}xf_{1,4,4} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,4,4} + e_{2,3,5}xf_{1,2,1} + e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,4,4} - \\
&e_{2,1,5}xf_{1,2,1} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{1,4,4} + e_{2,3,2}xf_{3,2,3} - e_{2,1,2}xf_{2,1,1} - e_{1,1,2}xf_{2,1,1} - e_{4,1,2}xf_{1,4,4} + e_{2,5,5}xf_{2,1,1} - e_{2,3,5}xf_{2,1,1} - \\
&e_{2,6,4}xf_{2,1,1} - e_{3,4,3}xf_{3,3,4} - e_{1,1,2}xf_{3,4,4} - e_{3,1,2}xf_{2,1,1} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{2,1,1}xf_{2,2,1} + e_{1,1,1}xf_{2,2,1} - e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,1,1} - \\
&e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{2,5,5}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{2,4,4}xf_{2,2,1} + e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,2,1} - e_{2,6,4}xf_{3,4,4} - e_{3,1,2}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{3,1,1}xf_{2,2,1} + e_{2,6,6}xf_{2,2,1} + \\
&e_{2,5,5}xf_{2,2,1} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{2,2,1} + e_{3,4,4}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{2,3,5}xf_{4,1,3} + e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{3,4,2}xf_{3,4,4} + e_{1,2,1}xf_{2,3,1} - e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,4,4} +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{1,1,1}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,2,1}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{2,2,1}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,3,1}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{1,3,1}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,3,1}+ \\
& e_{2,1,1}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{3,3,3}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{2,6,6}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{2,3,5}xf_{3,2,3}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,3,1}+ \\
& e_{3,4,4}xf_{2,3,1}+e_{2,3,5}xf_{2,1,2}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{2,2,2}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{2,2,2}+e_{2,5,2}xf_{3,1,5}-e_{2,6,4}xf_{2,2,2}-e_{2,5,1}xf_{3,1,5}-e_{2,5,3}xf_{3,1,5}- \\
& e_{3,1,2}xf_{2,2,2}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,2,2}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{3,1,5}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,3,2}+e_{2,3,5}xf_{2,3,2}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{2,3,2}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{1,4,1}+e_{2,5,2}xf_{3,2,5}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{3,2,5}+ \\
& e_{3,3,1}xf_{4,1,3}+e_{2,6,4}xf_{2,2,3}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{3,3,3}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{3,3,2}xf_{4,1,3}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{3,3,5}+ \\
& e_{2,1,1}xf_{2,3,3}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{2,5,3}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,3,5}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,3,3}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,3,3}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{2,3,3}+ \\
& e_{3,1,1}xf_{2,3,3}-e_{2,3,5}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{3,3,3}xf_{2,3,3}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{3,3,5}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{2,3,3}+ \\
& e_{1,2,2}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{1,1,2}-e_{2,5,2}xf_{3,4,5}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{4,1,3}-e_{2,5,4}xf_{3,4,5}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,1,1}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,3,3}- \\
& e_{3,1,2}xf_{3,1,1}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{2,2,3}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,1,1}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,1,1}+e_{1,1,2}xf_{3,2,1}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{3,5,5}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{1,1,2}+ \\
& e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,2,1}-e_{2,2,5}xf_{1,1,2}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,5,5}-e_{2,6,4}xf_{3,3,3}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{2,3,5}xf_{3,2,1}-e_{2,3,5}xf_{3,3,3}-e_{2,3,5}xf_{3,5,5}+ \\
& e_{2,6,6}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{1,1,2}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{3,5,5}+e_{3,2,3}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{3,3,3}xf_{1,1,2}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,5,5}-e_{3,2,3}xf_{3,3,2}+ \\
& e_{3,4,4}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{1,1,2}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{1,1,2}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{1,2,2}xf_{1,2,2}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{3,3,3}-e_{3,1,3}xf_{3,3,1}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{1,2,2}- \\
& e_{1,1,2}xf_{3,4,1}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,4,1}-e_{2,6,4}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{4,1,1}-e_{2,2,5}xf_{1,2,2}-e_{2,1,4}xf_{3,4,1}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{4,1,1}-e_{2,3,5}xf_{1,2,2}+ \\
& e_{2,5,5}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{1,1,2}xf_{4,2,1}+e_{2,1,2}xf_{4,2,1}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,3,3}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{2,3,5}xf_{4,2,1}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{1,2,2}-e_{1,2,2}xf_{1,3,2}+ \\
& e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{1,2,2}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{1,2,2}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{4,1,2}- \\
& e_{2,3,2}xf_{3,4,3}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,3,3}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{4,1,2}+ \\
& e_{2,6,6}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{2,6,6}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{3,3,3}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{4,1,2}+ \\
& e_{3,2,3}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{4,1,2}+e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{1,2,2}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{2,3,4}xf_{3,4,3}+ \\
& e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,1,2}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{1,4,2}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{4,2,2}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{4,2,2}+e_{2,3,2}xf_{4,2,3}-e_{1,2,2}xf_{1,4,2}+e_{1,2,2}xf_{3,2,2}-e_{1,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}- \\
& e_{2,6,4}xf_{4,2,2}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{2,3,5}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{2,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,2,2}xf_{3,2,2}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{4,2,2}+e_{3,2,2}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{1,2,2}xf_{3,4,2}- \\
& e_{2,6,4}xf_{3,2,2}-e_{4,1,2}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{2,3,1}xf_{3,1,3}-e_{2,3,5}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,5,5}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,3,2}xf_{3,1,3}-e_{3,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,4,1}xf_{1,1,3}- \\
& e_{4,1,2}xf_{3,2,2}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,1,3}-e_{2,4,2}xf_{1,1,3}+e_{2,4,3}xf_{1,1,3}+e_{2,3,5}xf_{4,2,3}-e_{2,3,1}xf_{4,1,3}-e_{2,4,5}xf_{1,1,3}+e_{2,3,2}xf_{4,1,3}- \\
& e_{2,3,3}xf_{4,1,3})+\lambda^2e_{2,6,4}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{3,4,2}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{2,1,2}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{2,1,2}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{2,2,2}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{4,2,2}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{2,2,2}+ \\
& e_{2,6,6}xf_{2,2,2}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{2,2,2}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{2,2,2}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{4,2,2}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{4,2,3}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{4,2,2}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{3,4,2}+ \\
& e_{2,6,4}xf_{2,1,3}-e_{2,6,6}xf_{2,1,3}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{2,3,6}xf_{3,4,3}+e_{3,2,3}xf_{3,2,2}-e_{2,6,6}xf_{2,2,3}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{3,2,2}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,2,2}- \\
& e_{2,3,1}xf_{4,2,3}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{2,2,1}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{2,2,1}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{2,1,1}-e_{3,1,3}xf_{3,5,1}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{2,1,1}+ \\
& e_{1,2,1}xf_{2,2,1}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,2,1}-e_{3,2,3}xf_{3,5,2}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{2,1,1}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{2,1,1}-e_{2,6,6}xf_{4,2,1}-e_{3,1,1}xf_{4,2,1}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{4,2,1}+ \\
& e_{2,3,1}xf_{3,4,3}+e_{2,6,4}xf_{4,2,1}-e_{2,6,6}xf_{1,3,4}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{4,2,1}-e_{2,1,1}xf_{4,2,1}+e_{2,1,6}xf_{3,4,1}-e_{2,6,1}xf_{1,3,4}-e_{1,1,1}xf_{4,2,1}+ \\
& e_{2,6,1}xf_{1,2,4}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{3,3,3}+e_{2,6,3}xf_{1,2,4}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,4,1}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,4,1}+e_{2,6,6}xf_{3,3,3}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{1,3,3}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{3,3,3}- \\
& e_{3,4,1}xf_{1,3,3}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,5,5}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{1,3,3}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{1,3,3}-e_{2,4,1}xf_{1,3,3}+e_{3,4,4}xf_{3,5,5}+e_{2,6,6}xf_{3,5,5}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,5,5}+
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{1,2,1}xf_{1,3,3}+e_{3,4,3}xf_{1,2,3}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,2,1}+e_{2,6,4}xf_{3,2,1}+e_{2,4,1}xf_{1,2,3}+e_{2,4,3}xf_{1,2,3}-e_{2,6,6}xf_{3,2,1}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{3,5,5}+ \\
& e_{3,1,3}xf_{3,2,1}+e_{3,4,1}xf_{1,2,3}+e_{2,5,3}xf_{3,5,5}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,2,1}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{3,5,5}-e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,2,1}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{3,5,5}-e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,2,1}+ \\
& e_{2,5,6}xf_{3,4,5}+e_{2,5,1}xf_{3,4,5}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{1,3,2}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{3,2,3}xf_{1,2,2}+ \\
& e_{3,4,4}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{2,2,3}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{2,4,4}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{1,2,2}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{3,3,3}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{3,3,5}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{3,3,3}- \\
& e_{2,5,3}xf_{3,2,5}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{1,2,1}+e_{3,1,3}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{2,5,1}xf_{3,2,5}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,3,1}-e_{1,1,1}xf_{1,2,1}+e_{2,6,4}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{2,3,3}xf_{1,2,1}+ \\
& e_{2,1,3}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{2,6,6}xf_{1,2,1}-e_{3,4,3}xf_{3,5,4}+e_{4,2,1}xf_{3,4,4}+e_{2,2,6}xf_{3,4,2}-e_{3,4,1}xf_{3,4,4}+e_{3,2,1}xf_{3,4,4}+e_{2,4,6}xf_{3,4,4}- \\
& e_{2,3,3}xf_{3,2,3}+e_{2,2,1}xf_{3,4,4}+e_{1,2,1}xf_{3,4,4}-e_{2,3,1}xf_{3,2,3}+e_{3,4,1}xf_{3,2,4}+e_{3,4,3}xf_{3,2,4}+e_{4,1,1}xf_{4,3,3}+e_{3,1,1}xf_{4,3,3}+ \\
& e_{2,6,6}xf_{4,3,3}+e_{2,1,1}xf_{4,3,3}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{3,5,3}+e_{1,1,1}xf_{4,3,3}-e_{3,3,3}xf_{4,2,3}-e_{3,3,1}xf_{4,2,3}+\lambda xf_5e_{4,1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each generic value of K the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ has the following irreducible representation V . There are two bases $\{v_{1,i_1,j_1}, v_{2,i_2,j_2}, v_{3,i_3,j_3}, v_{4,i_4,j_4}; 1 \leq i_1, j_1, i_4, j_4 \leq 2, 1 \leq i_2, j_2 \leq 6, 1 \leq i_3, j_3 \leq 4\}$ and $\{w_{1,i_1,j_1}, w_{2,i_2,j_2}, w_{3,i_3,j_3}, w_{4,i_4,j_4}, w_5; 1 \leq i_1, j_1 \leq 4, 1 \leq i_2, j_2, i_4, j_4 \leq 3, 1 \leq i_3, j_3 \leq 5\}$ of the space V such that

$$e_{\alpha,i,j}v_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{j,i'}v_{\alpha,i,j'},$$

$$f_5w_5 = w_5, \quad f_5w_{\alpha,i,j} = f_{\alpha,i,j}w_5 = 0, \quad f_{\alpha,i,j}w_{\beta,i',j'} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{j,i'}w_{\alpha,i,j'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{1,i,j} &= w_{1,i,j}, \quad v_{3,i,j} = s_{i,3}s_{j,3}w_{4,i,j} + w_{3,i,j}, \quad v_{4,i,j} = s_{i,1}s_{j,1}w_5 + w_{4,i,j}, \\
v_{2,i,j} &= s_{i,5}s_{j,5}w_{3,i,j} + \sum_{1 \leq i',j' \leq 3} \phi_i^{i'}(\lambda)\phi_j^{j'}(t)w_{2,i',j'} + \sum_{1 \leq i',j' \leq 4} \psi_i^{i'}\psi_j^{j'}w_{1,i',j'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $s_{k,l} = 1$ if $k \leq l$ and $s_{k,l} = 0$ otherwise, $\phi_k^{k'}(u) = 1$ if $(k', k) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 4), (1, 5), (2, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 1), (3, 6)\}$, $\phi_5^3(u) = u$ and $\phi_k^{k'}(u) = 0$ otherwise, $\psi_k^{k'} = 1$ if $(k', k) \in \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 4), (4, 6)\}$ and $\psi_k^{k'} = 0$ otherwise, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of the algebra $U(\mathcal{L})$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter of representation. In this representation K acts as $\frac{\lambda(t-1)}{t-\lambda}$.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to I.Z. Golubchik and M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky for useful discussions. The research was supported by the Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences (MIMS). The research was partially supported by: RFBR grant 05-01-00189, NSh grants 1716.2003.1 and 2044.2003.2.

References

- [1] A.V. Odesskii and V.V. Sokolov, Algebraic structures connected with pairs of compatible associative algebras, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, vol. 2006, Article ID 43734, 35 pages, 2006.
- [2] F. Magri, A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, **19**, 1156–1162, 1978.
- [3] I.Z. Golubchik and V.V. Sokolov, Factorization of the loop algebra and integrable top-like systems, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, **141**(1), 1329–1347, 2004.
- [4] A.V. Odesskii and V. V. Sokolov, *Integrable matrix equations related to pairs of compatible associative algebras*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 39 (2006), 12447-12456.
- [5] A. V. Mikhailov, V. V. Sokolov, Integrable ODEs on associative algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 211 (2000), no. 1, 231–251.
- [6] A.A. Belavin and V.G. Drinfeld, Solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras, (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 16 (1982), no. 3, 1–29.
- [7] M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What a classical r -matrix is, (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 17 (1983), no. 4, 17–33.
- [8] A. Schofield, General representations of quivers, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 65 (1992), 46-64.
- [9] V. Dlab, C.M. Ringel, Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 173 (1976).
- [10] C.M. Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms, *Springer Lec. Notes*, 1099 (1984).
- [11] I.Z. Golubchik and V.V. Sokolov, Compatible Lie brackets and integrable equations of the principle chiral model type, *Func. Anal. and Appl.*, **36**(3), 172–181, 2002.
- [12] I.Z. Golubchik and V.V. Sokolov, Factorization of the loop algebras and compatible Lie brackets, *Journal of Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **12**(1), 343–350, 2005.
- [13] I.Z. Golubchik and V.V. Sokolov, Compatible Lie brackets and Yang-Baxter equation, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, **146**(2), 159–169, 2006.
- [14] A.V. Odesskii and V. V. Sokolov, Compatible Lie brackets related to elliptic curve, *J. of Math. Phys.*, **47**, 013506 (2006).
- [15] B.L. Van Der Waerden, *Algebra*, Springer-Verlag (1967).

- [16] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Hermann (1968).
- [17] E.B. Vinberg, Discrete linear groups that are generated by reflections, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 35 (1971), 1072–1112.